# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

## 74 Adelaide Road, Bramhall, Cheshire SK7 1LU, UK

AUG 2 9 2004

Tel: (+44) 161-440 9269

Fax: (+44) 161-440 8548

Mr Charles C Chow, Patent Examiner (Technology Center 2600, Art Unit 2685) United States Patent & Trademark Office Washington DC 20231

August 29th 2004

Dear Mr Chow,

## Patent Application No 09/765,308 (filed 01/22/2001) Title: Multi-Purpose Mobile Cordless Phone System

Thankyou for your communication dated July 13<sup>th</sup> 2004 with the attached Office Action Summary Sheet of June 16<sup>th</sup> 2004.

I have responded by cancelling the previous Claims 22-25 and filing new Claims 26-32.

The relationship of the new Claims to the cancelled Claims is as follows:

- Claim 26 combines Claim 21 and cancelled Claim 23
- Claim 27 is a new dependent Claim
- Claim 28 combines Claim 21 and cancelled Claim 24
- Claim 29 is a new dependent Claim
- Claim 30 is based on Claim 21 and cancelled Claim 22 (latter is modified)
- Claim 31 is a new independent Claim
- Claim 32 is a new dependent Claim

I have retained Claim 21 and would respectively request you reconsider the Examiner's Rejection of this Claim, based on the following grounds:

• Chapman et al (Chapman) describes a system which includes "dual purpose cellular/cordless handsets" suitable for direct radio communication both with a cellular network or a cordless (fixed) network. In contrast, Claim 21 refers to "a cordless phone which may communicate with one or more mobile transponder units having the same cellular phone number". As described by Chapman, it is a dual purpose handset which can sit in "cradle 16", namely, not a "mobile transponder" as claimed by me.

 Examiner's rejection of Claim 21 does not include objection to the following phrase within the Claim: "or via a direct link when the active mobile transponder unit contains a suitable fixed network interface". Chapman's reference to "call divert 14" is associated with the fixed "Customer Base Station", namely, not a "mobile transponder" as claimed by me.

You will note that the new independent Claims 26 and 28, respectively, reflect your proposals regarding "Claims objection" under section 3. of the Office Action Summary.

Referring to the new dependent Claim 27, this is based on the Description provided on page 10 (paragraph 3) of the Patent Application.

Referring to the new dependent Claim 29, this is based on the Description provided on page 14 (paragraph 4) of the Patent Application.

Referring to the new independent Claim 30, this is based on the battery re-charging arrangement shown in Figs 3, 4, 10 & 17 of the Patent Application, namely, simultaneous recharging of "a mobile transponder unit and a cordless phone" as claimed by me. On the other hand, Chapman describes the simultaneous recharging of multiple "dual purpose cellular/cordless" handsets.

Referring to the new independent Claim 31, this has been drafted to overcome Examiner's Objections to the previously cancelled independent Claim 1. It is submitted for your consideration taking account of the following points:

- Unlike cancelled Claim 1 (and current Claim 21), it is written for a cordless phone have a single "dedicated" mobile transponder unit as described in the earlier parts of the Patent Application (prior to page 14, paragraph 3).
- Referring to your reference to Chapman, the latter describes a system involving multiple "dual purpose" handsets, namely, not "a cordless phone and a dedicated mobile transponder unit" as claimed by me.
- Referring to your reference to Gerszberg et al (Gerszberg), the latter describes a system
  involving a fixed transponder-type unit due to the inclusion of phone 32 connected via cable 31,
  namely the Gerszberg transponder-type unit is not a "mobile" and "compact portable" device as
  claimed by me.
- Referring to your reference to Evans et al (Evans), Evans describes a system involving multiple
  cordless phones and base stations, the latter being connected to fixed network landlines (there is
  no mention of cellular communication by Evans). In other words, Evans does not describe "a
  cordless phone and a dedicated mobile transponder unit" as claimed by me.
- Referring to your reference to Sakamoto et al (Sakamoto), Sakamoto describes a system
  involving a mobile repeater-type unit which may or may not be physically connected to a
  landline. Again, Sakamoto does not describe a "mobile transponder" as claimed by me.

Referring to new dependent Claim 32, this is based on the Description provided on page 11 (paragraph 3) of the Patent Application.

If you have any queries or require any additional information, then please do not hesitate to make contact. Otherwise, I look forward to receiving your comments in due course.

Yours sincerely,

Phillip Jarrett

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER AUG 2 9 2004

946 PØ1

29.08.04 12:34

PTO/SB/21 (04-04)
Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE are moulted to respond to a collection of informatich unless it displays a valid OMB control number.
Application Number Inder the Poperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no pers Application Number TRANSMITTAL Filing Date FORM First Named Inventor Art Unit (to be used for all correspondence after initial filling) Examiner Name Attorney Docket Number 10 Total Number of Pages in This Submission ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) After Allowance communication Fee Transmittal Form to Technology Center (TC) Drawing(s) Appeal Communication to Boats Licensing-related Papers of Appeals and Interferences Fee Attached Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Amendment/Reply Petition to Convert to a Proprietary Information Provisional Application After Final Power of Attorney, Revocation Status Letter Change of Correspondence Address Affidavits/declaration(s) Other Enclosure(s) (please Terminal Disclaimer **Extension of Time Request** Identify below): Request for Refund Express Abandonment Request CD. Number of CD(s) Information Disclosure Statement Verified Statement, dated Aug 29, 2004 Letter to Examiner, dated try 29, 2004 (3/pages) Certified Copy of Priority Document s Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Jarrett Individual name Signature Date 2914 CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO and epocited with the Unit for Patents: P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Typed or printed name Jarrett Date 2004 Signature

This collection of information is required by 37 GFR 15. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, prepering, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form end/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Petent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS, SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER
AUG 2 9 2004

#### **VERIFIED STATEMENT**

<u>Patent Application No 09/765,308 (filed 01/22/2001)</u> <u>Title: Multi-Purpose Mobile Cordless Phone System</u>

### Referenced Documents:

Letter to Patent Examiner of August 29<sup>th</sup> 2004 (comprising 3 pages) Cancelled Claims 1-20 and 22-25 Re-submitted Claim 21 New Claims 26-32

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by a fine or imprisonment, or both, under 18 U.S.C. 1001 and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Signed by PHILLIP JARRETT:

DATE:

August 29th 2004

BRITISH CITIZEN resident at

74 ADELAIDE ROAD, BRAMHALL, CHESHIRE, SK7 1LU, UNITED KINGDON